

# The Frobenius Determinant and a Foray into Linear Representations

Dylan Wallace, adv. Kevin Dao

February 3, 2025



# The Frobenius Determinant Problem



Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $|G| = n$ ,  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ .

Let  $x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_n}$  be distinct variables indexed by elements in  $G$ , and consider the matrix  $X_G$  with entries  $(X_G)_{ij} = x_{g_i g_j}$ . In this case,  $x_{g_i g_j} = x_{g_k}$  if  $g_i g_j = g_k$ .

## Frobenius' Determinant Theorem

$\det X_G = \prod_{j=1}^r P_j(\mathbf{x})^{\deg P_j}$ , where  $P_j(\mathbf{x})$  are pairwise nonproportional irreducible polynomials and  $r$  is the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$

- Pairwise-nonproportional:  $P_i(\mathbf{x})$  and  $P_j(\mathbf{x})$  are not scalar multiples of each other
- Irreducible:  $P_j(\mathbf{x})$  can't be written as a product of two polynomials
- Conjugacy class: Disjoint sets  $C \subset G$  s.t.  $hgh^{-1} \in C$  for  $g \in C$ ,  $h \in G$

## Example



Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , with  $g_1 = \bar{0}$  and  $g_2 = \bar{1}$ . Then  $g_1^2 = g_1$ ,  $g_2^2 = g_1$ , and  $g_1g_2 = g_2g_1 = g_2$ ; the conjugacy classes of  $G$  are  $\{g_1\}$  and  $\{g_2\}$ , so  $r = 2$ .

Then  $X_G = \begin{bmatrix} x_{g_1} & x_{g_2} \\ x_{g_2} & x_{g_1} \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $\det X_G = x_{g_1}^2 - x_{g_2}^2 = (x_{g_1} + x_{g_2})(x_{g_1} - x_{g_2})$

So we have a product of

- 2 polynomials
- that are not scalar multiples of each other
- which aren't products of other polynomials

so our theorem holds for  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

It turns out we can prove this theorem in the general case with **Representation Theory**.



Representation Theory is the study of group actions on vector spaces.

## Definition

Given a group  $G$  and vector space  $V$ , a **representation** is a group homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . The **degree** of  $\rho$  is  $\dim V$ .

- We will only be looking at representations of finite groups ( $|G| = n$  for the rest of this presentation)
- We will be looking at finite representations over  $\mathbb{C}$ . In other words,  $\dim V < \infty$  always. This keeps things clean and nice

- $\rho: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  with  $\rho(\bar{0}) = 1$ ,  $\rho(\bar{1}) = -1$  is a representation of degree 1
- More generally, if  $\zeta^n = 1$ , then  $\rho: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  with  $\rho(\bar{k}) = \zeta^k$  is a representation of degree 1
  - $\rho$  essential permutes the  $n$ -th roots of unity.
- $\rho: S_3 \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^2)$  by  $\rho(r) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi/3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{4\pi/3} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\rho(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is a representation of degree 2

The **group algebra** of  $G$  is the ring  $\mathbb{C}[G] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i : a_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ .

- We can think of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  as a vector space with  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$  as bases
- Then  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  by translation:  $h \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i h g_i$  for  $h \in G$

Note that  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules are precisely  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations of  $G$ : Suppose  $(V, +, \cdot)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module. Then we can construct a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  by  $\rho(g) : \vec{v} \mapsto g \cdot \vec{v}$ . In this way, we have an equivalence between  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules and representations of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We specifically consider  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  as a module over itself, defined by left-translation. From this we can define  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}[G])$  by  $\rho(g)h = gh, \forall g, h \in G$ .

This representation is called the **regular representation**.

# Reducible and Irreducible Representations



Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  be a representation, and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace.

## Definition

$W$  is  **$G$ -invariant** under  $\rho$  if  $\rho(g)W = W$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Because we are operating over  $\mathbb{C}$ , there exist many 1-dimensional subspaces that are invariant under  $\rho(g)$ . But the span of an eigenvector is  $G$ -invariant **only if it is an eigenvector of each  $\rho(g)$** !

## Definition

A representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is **reducible** if there exist  $G$ -invariant subspaces  $W, W' \subset V$  s.t.  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

If the only subspaces that are  $G$ -invariant under  $\rho$  are  $0$  and  $V$  itself, then  $\rho$  is **irreducible**.



## Schur's Lemma

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ ,  $\rho': G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ , and  $f: V \rightarrow W$  with  $f \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ f$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then

- if  $\rho \not\sim \rho'$ , then  $f = 0$ ;
- if  $V = W$  and  $\rho = \rho'$ , then  $f$  is a scalar product (a "homothety")

Note:  $\rho \simeq \rho'$  if there exists some isomorphism  $f: V \rightarrow W$  s.t.  $f \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ f$ .

From  $\rho, \rho'$ , and **any** map  $h: V \rightarrow W$ , we can construct a map  $f$  s.t.

$f \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ f \implies$  we can use this map to see if any two representations are isomorphic





## Maschke's Theorem

If  $k$  is a field whose characteristic doesn't divide  $|G|$ , then  $k[G] \simeq \bigoplus_i \text{End } V_i$ , where  $V_i$  are the irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $k$ .

- Characteristic of  $\mathbb{C}$  is 0  $\implies$  we can use Maschke's Theorem
- $\text{End } V_i \simeq \bigoplus_{\dim V_i} V_i$  and  $\dim \mathbb{C}[G] = n$ , so  $n = \sum_i (\dim V_i)^2$
- The regular representation  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}[G])$  can be decomposed into  $\dim V_i$  copies of a representation  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_i)$  for each irreducible representation  $V_i$

thus the regular representation **contains every irreducible representation, sometimes multiple times**



Proof Sketch:

- Construct a polynomial  $L(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{g_i} \rho(g)$ . This is a matrix with the variables  $x_{g_i}$  as coefficients, and  $\det L(\mathbf{x}) = \pm \det X_G$ 
  - If our condition holds for  $L(\mathbf{x})$ , it holds for  $X_G$
- Using Maschke's Theorem, we can show that  $\det L(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\det L(\mathbf{x})|_{V_i})^{\dim V_i}$
- Show that each  $\det L(\mathbf{x})|_{V_i}$  is an irreducible polynomial, and that the matrix coefficients of  $L(\mathbf{x})|_{V_i}$  and  $L(\mathbf{x})|_{V_j}$  are different ☺



A lot of the plumbing between Schur's Lemma and Maschke's Theorem is done by **characters**.

## Definition

Given a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ , the **character**  $\chi(g)$  of  $g \in G$  is defined as  $\chi(g) = \mathbf{Tr}(\rho(g))$ .

- $\mathbf{Tr}(A) = \mathbf{Tr}(BAB^{-1})$  for any matrices  $A, B$ , so  $\chi(g) = \chi(hgh^{-1})$  for any  $g, h \in G \implies \chi$  is defined on the **conjugacy classes** of  $G$
- $\chi(g) = \mathbf{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are eigenvalues of  $\rho(g) \in \mathbf{GL}(V)$ . Then  $\chi(g^2) = \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_k^2$ , etc.
  - Thus  $\chi(g^m)$ ,  $m < |g|$  contains all the information of the eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$ !